Shelton Elementary School



School Improvement Plan 2024 - 2025

Myers Moreland, Principal

PCSD VISION

The vision of the Paulding County School System is to prepare ALL students for success today and tomorrow.

PCSD MISSION

Engage. Inspire. Prepare.



SCHOOL MISSION & VISION

Mission & Vision

The mission of Shelton Elementary School is to nurture, engage, and challenge all our students.

The vision of Shelton Elementary School is to inspire all students to become responsible, confident, and lifelong learners.



Shelton Elementary School Improvement Action Plan

SMART Goal 1: The percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students scoring proficient and above on the Spring 2025 ELA Georgia Milestones Assessment will increase from 49.7% (369 students) in Spring 2024 to 53.8% in Spring 2025. (Increase by 1 in each class (30 total) to 399 Total)

- 3rd grade: the percentage of students scoring proficient and above on the ELA portion of the GMA will increase from 49.3 % (113 students) in Spring 2024 to 54.3 % (124 students) in Spring 2025. *Compared to 2024 3rd grade cohort. (Increase 11 students)
- 4th grade: the percentage of students scoring proficient and above on the ELA portion of the GMA will increase from 38.4 % (98 students) in Spring 2024 to 41.9 % (107 students) in Spring 2025. *Compared to 2024 3rd grade cohort. (Increase 9 students)
- 5th grade: the percentage of students scoring proficient and above on the ELA portion of the GMA will increase from 61.4 % (158 students) in Spring 2024 to 65.1 % (168 students) in Spring 2025. *Compared to 2024 4th grade cohort. (Increase 10 students)

Strategic Goal Area	Initiations (A at	Process Goals	Person(s)	Monitoring		
	Initiatives/Acti on Steps	(Guide your Action Steps – benchmarks along the way)	Responsible	Implementation (Artifacts/Evide nce)	Effectiveness (Formative Data)	

Strategic	Teachers will	Teachers will set individualized	EAC, admin,	Agendas, sign-in	Acadience Subtest progress;
Goal 1, 3, 4,	utilize and	goals using current literacy data and	district support,	sheets, assessment	classroom observations; Student
& 5	analyze student	previously created goal setting	GL team leads,	results on Acadience,	progression in DI groups during
	literacy data	protocols.	teachers	student group data	the literacy NBI block; student
GSPS.CS.1-	monthly to	• Teachers and administrators will			group growth documentation on
3	identify areas of	monitor the progress of student			literacy data doc
GSPS.AS.1-	strength and/or	groups scoring in the developing			
5	need and provide	range on the GMA or formative			• K- The % of students at or
GSPS.IS.1-	individual	measures at each data meeting and			above benchmark on the
8	intervention or	provide instructional support as			Acadience composite will
GSPS.PLS.	enrichment to	needed.			increase from 59% in BOY
1-5	meet the student's	 In grades K-5, teachers will use 			to% by MOY to% by
GSPS.LS.2-	needs.	Acadience data to identify students			the EOY benchmark.
8		who need enrichment during reading			• 1 st – The % of students at or
		instruction. Teachers will provide			above benchmark on the
		enrichment opportunities for these			Acadience composite will
		students during the reading lesson.			increase from 56% in BOY
		• Teachers will use high leverage			to% by MOY to% by
		practices in Tier I instruction and			the EOY benchmark.
		during differentiated instructional			• 2 nd - The % of students at or
		blocks to support students scoring in			above benchmark on the
		the developing range on GMA or			Acadience composite will
		formative measures.			increase from 73% in BOY
		formative measures.			to% by MOY to% by
					the EOY benchmark.
					 3rd - The % of students at or
					above benchmark on the
					Acadience composite will
					increase from 77% in BOY
					to% by MOY to% by
					the EOY benchmark.
					 4th – ORF Accuracy % of
					• 4 th – ORF Accuracy % of students at or above
					benchmark will increase
					from 74% in BOY to%
					MOY to <u>%</u> by the EOY benchmark. MAZE % of
					students at or above
					benchmark will increase
					from 70% in BOY to% in

Strategic Goal Area	Initiatives/Acti	Process Goals	Person(s) Responsible	Ν	Aonitoring
	on Steps (Guide your Action Steps – benchmarks along the way)		Responsible	Implementation (Artifacts/Evide nce)	Effectiveness (Formative Data)
					 MOY to% by the EOY benchmark. 5th - ORF Accuracy % of students at or above benchmark will increase from 60% in BOY to% MOY to% by the EOY benchmark. MAZE % of students at or above benchmark will increase from 72% in BOY to% in MOY to% by the EOY benchmark.
Strategic Goal 1-5 GSPS.CS.1- 3 GSPS.AS.1- 5 GSPS.IS.1- 8 GSPS.PLS. 1-5 GSPS.LS.2- 8	Each grade level PLC will use common rubrics to collaboratively score students writing summative assessments each quarter.	 Teachers or teams will participate in vertical planning to use common rubrics to score summative writing assessments during October 2024. K-5 teachers and support staff will participate in collaborative scoring after each assigned summative writing assessment. 	Admin, Writing district support, EAC, PLC lead	Agendas, sign-in sheets, lesson plans, student conferencing notes, Write Score data, student grades, PLC minutes, student group data	Classroom observations, students' formative/summative assessment results, Write Score Assessment data,

Strategic	Teachers will	•	Teachers will participate in writing	Admin, Writing	Agendas, sign-in	Classroom observations,
Goal 1-5	provide targeted		data digs following each	district support,	sheets, lesson plans,	students' formative/summative
GSPS.CS.1-	feedback in		administration of WriteScore or end	EAC, PLC	student conferencing	assessment results, Write Score
3	writing through		of 9-week assessments to identify	lead, teachers	notes, WriteScore	Assessment data
GSPS.AS.1-	writing		writing deficits for individual		data, student grades,	
5	conferences at		students.		PLC minutes, student	• 3^{rd} – The % of students
GSPS.IS.1-	least twice for	•	K-5 teachers and support staff will		group data,	scoring 4 out of 7 points or
8	each student each		attend PL to understand how to		conferencing notes	higher on the WriteScore
GSPS.PLS.	month.		conduct effective student			opinion essay will increase
1-5	montin.		conferences around writing.			from 11.3 % in 2023 to 15
GSPS.LS.2-		•	Teachers will utilize a conferring			% in 2024. The % of
8			protocol to conduct individual			students scoring 4 out of 7
			conferences as needed with students.			points or higher on the
						WriteScore informational
						essay will increase from
						16.4% in 2023 to 21 % in
						2024.
						• 4 th - The % of students
						scoring 4 out of 7 points or
						higher on the WriteScore
						opinion essay will increase
						from 24.4% in 2023 to 29 %
						in 2024. The % of students
						scoring 4 out of 7 points or
						higher on the WriteScore
						informational essay will
						increase from 28% in 2023
						to 33 % in 2024.
						• 5 th - The % of students
						scoring 4 out of 7 points or
						higher on the WriteScore
						opinion essay will increase
		1				from 66% in 2023 to 71 %
						in 2024. The % of students
		1				scoring 4 out of 7 points or
		1				higher on the WriteScore
						informational essay will

Strategic Goal Area	Initiatives/Acti	Process Goals	Person(s) Responsible	Monitoring		
	on Steps	(Guide your Action Steps – benchmarks along the way)		Implementation (Artifacts/Evide nce)	Effectiveness (Formative Data)	
					increase from 44% in 2023 to 49 % in 2024.	

Shelton Elementary School Improvement Action Plan

SMART Goal 2: The percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students scoring proficient and above on the Spring Math Georgia Milestones Assessment will increase from 54.2% (402 students) in Spring 2024 to 60% (445 students) in Spring 2025.

- 3rd grade: the percentage of students scoring proficient and above on the math portion of the GMA will increase from 61 % (139 students) in Spring 2024 to 70% (169 students) in Spring 2025. *Compared to 2024 3rd grade cohort.
- 4th grade: the percentage of students scoring proficient and above on the math portion of the GMA will increase from 61% (139 students) in Spring 2024 to70% (166 students) in Spring 2025. *Compared to 2024 3rd grade cohort.
- 5th grade: the percentage of students scoring proficient and above on the math portion of the GMA will increase from 54% (138 students) in Spring 2024 to 60% (163 students) in Spring 2025. *Compared to 2024 4th grade cohort.

Strategic Goal Area	Initiatives/Action	Process Goals	Person(s)	Monit	oring
	Steps	(Guide your Action Steps – benchmarks along the way)	Responsible	Implementation (Artifacts/Evidence)	Effectiveness (Formative Data)
Strategic Goal 1, 3, 4, & 5 GSPS.CS.1- 3 GSPS.AS.1- 5 GSPS.IS.1- 8 GSPS.PLS. 1-5 GSPS.LS.2- 8	Teachers will understand and utilize the Georgia Standards of Excellence in Math and an aligned curriculum to implement consistent Tier 1 mathematics instruction and demonstrate student progress on the math Beacon assessment in grades 3-5.	Teachers will participate in vertical professional learning on the new math standards and new curriculum.	EAC, district support, GL team leads, teachers	Agendas, sign-in sheets, KickUp PL records, meeting minutes, Beacon math results for 3 rd -5 th , formative assessment measures for K-5, math data doc, grade- level meeting minutes	 Student formative data on the Math Beacon assessment for 3rd-5th; summative assessment measures for K-5; classroom observations; student group growth documentation on math data doc 3rd - The % of students scoring prepared on the Beacon math assessment will increase from .4% in BOY to% by the EOY administration. 4th - The % of students scoring prepared on the Beacon math assessment will increase from 1.3% ir BOY to% by the EOY administration. 5th - The % of students scoring prepared on the Beacon math assessment will increase from 1.3% ir BOY to% by the EOY administration. 5th - The % of students scoring prepared on the Beacon math assessment will increase from 1.3% ir BOY to% by the EOY administration. 5th - The % of students scoring prepared on the Beacon math assessment will increase from .4% in BOY to% by the EOY administration.

Strategic Goal Area	Initiatives/Action	Process Goals	Person(s) Responsible				
	Steps	(Guide your Action Steps – benchmarks along the way)		Implementation (Artifacts/Evidence)	Effectiveness (Formative Data)		
Strategic Goal 1-5 GSPS.CS.1- 3 GSPS.AS.1- 5 GSPS.IS.1- 9 GSPS.PLS. 1-5 GSPS.LS.2- 8	Teachers will consistently utilize and analyze student math data to identify areas of strength and/or need and provide individual intervention or enrichment to meet the student's needs utilizing needs-based instruction.	 During quarterly math data meetings, teachers will discuss overall student achievement and the progress of students scoring in the developing range of the GMA or formative assessment measures to make decisions for intervention and extension groups. K-5 teachers will incorporate high leverage practices during math Tier I and differentiated instruction. 	EAC, district support, GL team leads, teachers	Agendas, sign-in sheets, KickUp PL records, meeting minutes, DTM pre- and post-assessment results, math data doc, grade- level meeting minutes, student group data	Student formative data using local measures; DTM pre- and post-test progress; classroom observations; student group growth documentation on math data doc, growth on Beacon		

Strategic Goal Area	Initiatives/Action	Process Goals	Person(s) Responsible	Monit	oring
Gourrieu	Steps	(Guide your Action Steps – benchmarks along the way)	Kesponsible	Implementation (Artifacts/Evidence)	Effectiveness (Formative Data)
Strategic Goal 1-5 GSPS GSPS.CS.1- 3 GSPS.AS.1- 5 GSPS.IS.1- 8 GSPS.PLS. 1-5 GSPS.LS.2- 8	Teachers will participate in and implement grade-level specific PBLs to engage in the engineering design process to move the school from level 3 to level 4 on the STEM continuum.	 Grade-levels will plan and implement lessons to support a PBL throughout the year using the engineering design process. Teachers will receive PL on the engineering design process and will have time to collaboratively plan instruction to support the grade- level PBL topic. Documentation will be kept in grade- level meeting minutes, STEM committee meeting minutes, and in the STEM continuum folder in TEAMS to document progress towards a level 4 on the STEM continuum. 	STEM committee reps, STEM teachers, EAC, district support, GL team leads, teachers	Agendas, sign-in sheets, KickUp PL records, meeting minutes, grade-level meeting minutes, STEM committee minutes, STEM Continuum documentation maintained in TEAMS.	Weekly PLC minutes with progress notes on the Engineering Design Process; STEM Continuum documentation maintained throughout the year with progress towards a Level 4 on the STEM continuum. Cognia observations, Cognia narratives and evidence in platform

Shelton Elementary Professional Learning Plan

Professional Learning	Audience	Presenter	Timeline	Monite	oring
Strategy/Support (Should be connected to effectiveness monitoring of action steps in the SIP)				Implementation	Effectiveness
Vertical Math Professional Learning	K-5 teachers and support staff	EAC, district support	Aug 2024- April 2025	KickUp PL records, agendas, sign-in sheets, student group data	Classroom observations; student group growth documentation on math data docs
Grade-Level PLCs focused on ELA, Math, and Tech instruction and data desegregation	K-5 teachers and support staff	EAC, Literacy Coach, district support	Aug 2024- April 2025	KickUp PL records, agendas, sign-in sheets, assessment results on Acadience and Math Beacon assessment, local formative measures, student group data	Student formative data on local ELA and math formative measures, Acadience Subtest progress; classroom observations; student group growth documentation on literacy and math data docs; WriteScore results; completed IT coaching modules
STEM Certification Process PL	K-5 teachers, support staff	EAC, district support, STEM teachers, STEM Committee	Aug 2024- April 2025	Agendas, sign-in sheets, KickUp PL records, meeting minutes, grade-level meeting minutes, STEM committee minutes, STEM Continuum documentation maintained in TEAMS.	Weekly PLC minutes with progress notes on the Engineering Design Process; STEM Continuum documentation maintained throughout the year with progress towards a Level 4 on the STEM continuum

Professional Learning	Audience	Presenter	Timeline	Monite	oring
Strategy/Support (Should be connected to effectiveness monitoring of action steps in the SIP)				Implementation	Effectiveness
Literacy Data Digs	K-5 teachers and support staff	Literacy Coach	Aug 2024- April 2025	KickUp PL records, agendas, sign-in sheets, assessment results on Acadience, student group data	Student formative data on the Acadience Subtest progress; local formative measures; classroom observations; Student progression in DI groups during the literacy NBI block; student group growth documentation on literacy data doc
Writing PL – focus on consistent use of rubrics, collaborative scoring, and conferencing	K-5 teachers and support staff	Literacy coach, EAC, district support	Aug 2024- April 2025	Agendas, sign-in sheets, lesson plans, student conferencing notes, Write Score data, student grades, PLC minutes, student group data	Classroom observations, students' formative/summative assessment results, Write Score Assessment data, GMA ELA data; student group growth documentation on literacy data doc
Math Data Digs	K-5 teachers and support staff	EAC and District Support	Aug 2024- April 2025	Agendas, sign-in sheets, KickUp PL records, meeting minutes, local formative assessment results, DTM pre- and post-assessment results, math data doc, grade-level meeting minutes, Progress Learning reports; student group data	Student formative data on local formative math assessments; DTM pre- and post-test progress; classroom observations; Student progression in DI groups during the math NBI block; Progress Learning and LiftOff reports; student group growth documentation on math data doc